

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/736,334	12/15/2000	Dong Yeung Kwak	8733.321.00	8642		
30827 7	30827 7590 12/17/2003 EXAMINER					
	LONG & ALDRIDG	DUDEK, JAMES A				
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2871			

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/736,334	KWAK ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		James A. Dudek	2871				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠		— · is action is non-final.					
3)□	,		resecution as to the	a marite ie			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are allowed.						
)						
	7) Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	÷					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 13-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Applicant's prior art figures 8-9.

Per claim 13, Applicant's prior art figures 8 and 9 teach a liquid crystal display device, comprising: a lower plate having a lower substrate [glass substrate 72], a gate insulating film on said lower substrate [gate insulating film 74], an organic protective film on said gate insulating film [organic protective film 78], and a plurality of link electrodes [gate links 92 formed on the glass substrate 72], said lower plate further including a plurality of channels defined through said organic protective film [channels 94 which extend beyond the contact width of the seal, see figure 8], wherein each channel has a defining surface comprised of said gate insulating film [the channel cut into layer 74 and thus layer 74 partially defines the channel], and wherein said plurality of channels are at locations between two of said link electrodes [see figure 9]; an upper plate [80]; and a sealant coated seal between said lower plate and said upper plate for bonding said upper plate to said lower plate [seal 54], wherein said sealant coated seal crosses said link electrodes, and wherein said sealant contacts said gate insulating film [see figure 9, the seal contacts the sides of the cuts in the layer 74].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/736,334

Art Unit: 2871

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' prior art figures 8-9.

Per claim 18, prior art figures 8 and 9 teach a liquid crystal display device according to claim 13 except for said gate insulating film being comprised of an inorganic material. However it is notoriously well know to form gate insulation layers from SiO2 because SiO2 has high electrical resistance properties. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine SiO2 with applicant's prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Per claim 1 and its associated dependent claims, the prior art of record teaches a liquid crystal display device, comprising: a lower plate having a lower substrate, a gate insulating film on said lower substrate, an organic protective film on said gate insulating film, a plurality of link electrodes, said lower plate further including a plurality of channels defined through said organic protective film, wherein each channel has a defining surface comprised of a metal pattern, and wherein said plurality of channels are at locations between two of said link electrodes; an upper plate; and a sealant coated seal between said lower plate and said upper plate for bonding said

Application/Control Number: 09/736,334

Art Unit: 2871

upper plate to said lower plate, wherein said sealant coated seal crosses said link electrodes [see rejection above]. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest the above limitation in combination with a plurality of metal patterns, wherein said sealant contacts said metal patterns.

Per claim 17, the prior art teaches a liquid crystal display device according to claim 13, but fails to teach or suggest said link electrodes are on said gate insulating film.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First all the elements and limitation were disclosed in figure 9 and applicant's background of invention or description of admitted prior art figures including figures 8-9. Also, the error was merely typographical.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/736,334

Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0956.

James A. Dudek Brimary Examiner Art Unit 2871

December 15, 2003